INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE & BIOLOGY ISSN Print: 1560–8530; ISSN Online: 1814–9596 19–1375/2020/24–4–691–698 DOI: 10.17957/IJAB/15.1488 http://www.fspublishers.org



Full Length Article

# Potential of Zinc Solubilizing *Bacillus* Strains to Improve Growth, Yield, and Quality of Maize (*Zea mays*)

Muhammad Zahid Mumtaz<sup>1,2</sup>, Arif Malik<sup>2</sup>, Farheen Nazli<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Latif<sup>4</sup>, Ahmad Zaheer<sup>2</sup>, Qasim Ali<sup>5,6\*</sup>, Moazzam Jamil<sup>1</sup> and Maqshoof Ahmad<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science, University College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, the Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Lahore, Defense Road Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>*Pesticide Quality Control Laboratory, Bahawalpur, Pakistan* 

<sup>4</sup>Department of Agronomy, University College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, the Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Soil & Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan

<sup>6</sup>College of Agriculture, BZU, Bahadur Campus, Layyah, Pakistan

\*For correspondence: maqshoof\_ahmad@yahoo.com; ali\_qasim@bzu.edu.pk

Received 06 September 2019; Accepted 07 April 2020; Published 16 August 2020

# Abstract

Zinc (Zn) deficiency in major food crops has been considered as an important factor affecting crop production and subsequently the human health. The application of Zn solubilizing bacteria could be a sustainable agronomic approach to increase the soil available Zn. The present field study was conducted at two different sites (Farmers' fields at Bahawalpur, Punjab, Pakistan) to evaluate the biofortification potential of four Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains *viz., Bacillus* spp. ZM20, *Bacillus aryabhattai* ZM31, *B. subtilis* ZM63, and *B. aryabhattai* S10, alone as well as in different combination. Separate as well as combinations of Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains, significantly, improved the plant growth, yield and grain nutrient concentrations at both experimental sites. However, more increase in maize growth, yield and biofortified Zn and iron (Fe) concentration in grains were obtained due to co-inoculated combinations. Co-inoculation with *B. aryabhattai* S10 and *B. subtilis* ZM63 had the maximum Fe concentration (56.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in grains with an increase of 34% at Site-I compared to respective un-inoculated control. While the maximum increase in Zn concentration in maize grains (23% over respective un-inoculated control at Site-II) was recorded due to combined use of *B. aryabhattai* ZM31 and *B. subtilis* ZM63. These results suggested that co-inoculation with Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains expressed synergistic effects to increase nutrients acquisition and to promote growth and yield of maize. It is recommended to use the consortia of *B. aryabhattai* ZM31 and *B. subtilis* ZM63 as potential bio-inoculants for Zn biofortification of maize under nutrient-deficient soil conditions. © 2020 Friends Science Publishers

Keywords: Bacillus strains; Biofortification; Zea mays; Zn solubilization; Co-inoculation

# Introduction

Zinc (Zn) malnutrition is widespread in resource-poor populations of the world. It weakens the immune function, increases the vulnerability to infection, and affects pregnancy in women as well as the physical growth of children (Roohani *et al.* 2013; Millward 2017). Young children, pregnant and lactating women are the most affected due to Zn malnutrition (Hess 2017). Zn malnutrition can be overcome by taking supplements and dietary intervention. Zn supplementation is convenient especially for effected populations; however, it is not cost-effective intervention (Meenakshi *et al.* 2007). Dietary intervention is a sustainable long-term intervention intended for the intake of diverse diets including greater consumption of animal-source foods, commercial fortification and biofortification (Bouis and Saltzman 2017). Grain Zn biofortification can enhance Zn status of cereals consumed by rural poor people and can be carried out through plant breeding, transgenic approaches, chemical fertilizers, and with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) inoculation (White and Broadley 2005; Rana *et al.* 2012; Velu *et al.* 2014; Garg *et al.* 2018; Farooq *et al.* 2018; Rehman *et al.* 2018a; Younas *et al.* 2020). Application of PGPR is a novel biotechnological approach through which cereals can be fortified by enhancing the nutrient bioavailability and uptake (Rana *et al.* 2018).

To cite this paper: Mumtaz MZ, A Malik, F Nazli, M Latif, A Zaheer, Q Ali, M Jamil, M Ahmad (2020). Potential of zinc solubilizing *Bacillus* strains to improve growth, yield, and quality of maize (*Zea mays*). *Intl J Agric Biol* 24:691–698

*al.* 2012; Hussain *et al.* 2018; Mumtaz *et al.* 2018; Rehman *et al.* 2018b; Ullah *et al.* 2020a).

Bioavailability of Zn for plant uptake and accumulation in dietary foods is dependent on the Zn concentration. An increase in soil pH decreases the Zn solubility and its availability to plants. Zn fertilizers are applied to fulfill the Zn deficiency in plant, however, their greater fraction can become unavailable to plants due to various edaphic factors and these can be transformed into available forms using efficient ZSB strains (Cakmak 2008; Alloway 2009; Rehman et al. 2018c). The PGPR having the ability to solubilize insoluble Zn are called Zn solubilizing bacteria (ZSB) (Saravanan et al. 2007; Mumtaz et al. 2017). ZSB secrete organic acids which chelate the bounded Zn and make it available to crop plants (Fasim et al. 2002; Saravanan et al. 2007; Vidyashree et al. 2018; Mumtaz et al. 2019). Numerous ZSB species of genera viz., Acinetobacter, Bacillus, Cyanobacteria, Gluconacetobacter, Pseudomonas, and Serratia have been reported for their ability to solubilize non-labile-Zn in soil (Saravanan et al. 2007; Mumtaz et al. 2017; Rehman et al. 2018b; Vidyashree et al. 2018; ; Ullah et al. 2020b). Among these bacterial genera, Bacillus spp. was the most dominant to solubilize Zn and to promote crop growth, yield and improving nutrients accumulation in grains.

Zinc solubilizing Bacillus strains are gram-positive plant-associated bacteria having the ability to solubilize non-labile minerals and secrete growth-promoting metabolites that enhance plant growth, nutrient availability and suppress soil-borne plant pathogens (Chen et al. 2006; Meena et al. 2016; Mumtaz et al. 2017, 2019). Such strains can survive in extremely adverse environments because of their prospective endospore formation and variable fatty acid configurations (Diomande et al. 2015). These efficient strains can enhance the Zn availability to fulfill the requirement of the plant and thus, helpful in cereal grains biofortification (Sharma et al. 2012). Previously, various Zn solubilizing Bacillus strains viz. Bacillus spp. (Shakeel et al. 2015; Mumtaz et al. 2017, 2018), B. aryabhattai (Ramesh et al. 2014; Mumtaz et al. 2017), B. thuringiensis (Khande et al. 2017), B. cereus (Khande et al. 2017), B. firmus, B. amyloliquefaciens (Sharma et al. 2012) and B. subtilis (Mumtaz et al. 2017, 2018) were reported as potential candidates for Zn biofortification in cereals. These ZSB strains biofortified the cereals grains using different mechanisms including biological nitrogen fixation from the atmosphere, solubilization of non-labile mineral compounds, production of phytohormones, 1aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase activity, production of siderophores and antifungal activities which promote yield and grain quality of cereals (Meena et al. 2016; Mumtaz et al. 2017; Dinesha et al. 2018). These strains can be used as biofertilizers that synergistically promote nutrient absorption and accumulation in grains (Vaid et al. 2014; Mumtaz et al. 2017).

Biofortification of cereals through inoculation with ZSB strains is an emerging biotechnological approach that

can promote grain quality and human health. It is well understood that the ZSB strain can increase the Zn solubility in soil and its accumulation in grains but its role under Zn deficient soils is poorly understood. Considerable research is needed in this area to recognize novel strains as well as their role for biofortification of cereals under Zn deficient soil conditions. The current experiment describes the potential of selected Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains possessing multiple plant growth-promoting characteristics for improving growth, grain yield, and biofortification of maize under native soil Zn conditions.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Collection of bacterial strains and preparation of inoculum

Four Zn solubilizing Bacillus strains viz., Bacillus spp. ZM20, B. aryabhattai ZM31, B. subtilis ZM63 and B. aryabhattai S10 (Genbank accession numbers KX086260, KX788860, KX788861 and KX788862, respectively) were obtained from the gene bank of Soil Microbiology and Biotechnology Laboratory, Department of Soil Science, the Islamia University of Bahawalpur. The strains were grown in Dworkin and Foster (DF) minimal media modified with ZnO (0.1% of Zn w/v) as described by Mumtaz et al. (2017) and incubated at  $30 \pm 1^{\circ}C$  under shaking (100 rpm) conditions (Model SI9R-2, Shellab, USA) for 48 h. After incubation, the bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at 9000 rpm and 22°C for 20 min (Model: UNIVERSAL 320R, Hettich, Germany). The supernatant was discarded, and the pellets were re-suspended in sterilized distilled water. This washing procedure was repeated, and the pellets were dissolved in sterilized distilled water to get uniform cell density (OD = 0.45; cell count  $10^8$ CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>). The final cultures with a uniform population were taken in a sterile flask and used for inoculation.

#### **Experimental management**

The experiment was conducted in farmer field located at Latitude: 29.46°N, Longitude: 71.70°E and 115 m elevations above the Arabian Sea level. Before crop sowing, a composite soil sample from 0-20 cm depth was taken and analyzed for physicochemical characteristics by following standard procedures reported by Ryan et al. (2007). Available Zn concentration in the soil before crop sowing was estimated by following diethylene triamine penta-acetic acid (DTPA) extraction method (Lindsay and Norvell 1978). The Zn concentration in extractant was determined in an Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies, Australia) using Zn lamp. For determination of total Zn concentration in soil, 0.5 g of soil was digested with hydrofluoric acid (HF) and perchloric acid (HCIO<sub>4</sub>) analyzed through and Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Yawar et al. 2010). The field soil was sandy loam and low in organic matter, nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) but contains enough potassium (K). The bacterial strains along with their co-inoculation combinations were inoculated on maize seeds of cultivar Pioneer-30Y87 (Pioneer Seed Ltd., Pakistan) by preparing slurry with peat, inoculum (bacterial culture) and sugar solution (10%) in the ratio of 5:4:1. For co-inoculation formulation, broth of respective cultures was used in the ratio of 1:1 for slurry preparation. Uninoculated control was maintained through coating seed with peat, control broth (without culture) and sugar solution. Maize seeds from each treatment were sown on four ridges of 75 cm apart and thinning was performed after 15 days of emergence through pulling out the extra/ weak plants to maintain ten plants at a distance of 20–25 cm in each ridge.

The recommended dose of N, P, and K (120: 90: 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was applied in the form of urea, diammonium phosphate (DAP) and sulfate of potash (SOP), respectively. A full dose of P, K and half of N was applied at sowing time. The remaining half dose of N was given at the anthesis stage. The experiment was conducted by applying treatment in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Irrigation need of each plot was fulfilled through flooding the field with good quality underground irrigation water (Ayers and Westcot 1985). Thinning was done after germination to maintain plant density. All standard agronomic practices were carried out as and when required. Growth and yield contributing attributes were recorded on harvest at maturity. Plant and grain samples measure biofortified nutrient were analyzed to concentrations. At physiological maturity, data about growth and yield parameters were recorded. For determination of SPAD value, ten mature leaves (3rd from flag leaf) from different plants were selected randomly and reading was noted by using SPAD meter model CL-01 (Hansatech Instruments Ltd., England). For the determination of N, P, K, Fe and Zn, in maize straw and grains, samples from each treatment and replication were oven-dried at 67°C and wet digested following the method as described by Wolf (1982).

For the determination of P concentration in digested plant samples, the standard procedure of Ryan *et al.* (2007) was followed. Flame photometer (BWP Technologies, U.K.) was used to determine K in digested plant samples. The N, Fe, and Zn were determined by using commercial service of Central Hi-Tech Laboratory, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan. The N concentration in plant extract was determined following the standard procedure of the Kjeldahl method as described by Ryan *et al.* (2007). For Fe and Zn analysis, samples were analyzed by using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies, Australia) as described by Helrich (1990).

# Statistical analysis

The statistical method was developed to evaluate the effect of sole and co-inoculation with ZSB strains on growth, yield and quality of maize. The group of variables were randomly split into bacterial inoculation treatment and collected data were analyzed by using one-way analysis of variance technique (ANOVA) and means were compared by Least Significant Difference (LSD) Tests at 5% level of significance (Steel *et al.* 1997) through computer software Statistix v. 8.1 (Analytical Software, Tallahassee, FL, USA).

### Results

#### Field soil characterization

The experimental field soil used in this study was characterized by physicochemical properties. The result revealed that the experimental soil was sandy loam (70% sand, 16% silt and 14% clay) alkaline in nature (pH 8.1) having 0.28 dS m<sup>-1</sup> electrical conductivity of soil extract and 0.88% organic matter contents. Before sowing, soil showed total N contents up to 0.05%, available P up to 4.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and extractable K up to 169 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. There was 46.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> total Zn concentration in the soil while 3.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of Zn was present in the available form (data is not given).

#### Growth attributes

Maize growth parameters, including SPAD (Soil-Plant Analyses Development) unit value, plant height and shoot dry weight in retort to sole or co-inoculation with ZSB strains are given in Table 1. The inoculation/co-inoculation gave a significant increase in growth attributes of maize in terms of SPAD unit value, plant height and shoot dry weight. Uninoculated control showed significantly lowest SPAD value of 50.7. The increase in the SPAD unit value of maize was observed due to both inoculation and coinoculation, however, co-inoculation treatments were more effective to show an increase in SPAD value except the combination of Bacillus spp. ZM20 and B. aryabhattai S10. The maximum SPAD value was observed due to the co-inoculated combination of B. aryabhattai ZM31 and B. aryabhattai S10 that showed 65.3 of SPAD value. The coinoculation combination of *B. aryabhattai* S10  $\times$  *B. subtilis* also showed better SPAD value (61.4). ZM63 Uninoculated control showed the lowest plant height up to 220.5 cm and shoot dry weight up to 187.6 g. The sole inoculation of most of the strains gave a significant increase in maize height and shoot dry weight, however, coinoculation was more effective as compared to sole inoculation. The maximum plant height of 255.9 cm was recorded in the treatment involving the combined use of B. aryabhattai ZM31 and B. subtilis ZM63 which was statistically similar to co-inoculation of B. aryabhattai S10  $\times$  B. subtilis ZM63 (249.8 cm). Combined application of B. aryabhattai ZM31 and B. subtilis ZM63 also gave maximum shoot dry weight 216 g which was statistically similar to co-inoculation with *Bacillus* spp. ZM20  $\times$  *B*. subtilis ZM63.

Inoculation/co-inoculation*	SPAD value	Plant height (cm)	Shoot dry weight (g)
Uninoculated Control	50.7 f	220.5 f	187.6 i
Bacillus spp. ZM20	55.9 cde	242.3 cd	201.5 f
B. aryabhattai ZM31	54.7 de	236.8 de	207.3 с
B. aryabhattai S10	54.9 cde	234.1 e	192.2 h
B. subtilis ZM63	57.9 bcd	235.8 de	205.3 e
Bacillus spp. ZM20 × B. aryabhattai ZM31	57.8 bcd	244.8 bc	211.6 b
Bacillus spp. ZM20 × B. aryabhattai S10	53.1 ef	241.2 cde	206.0 de
Bacillus spp. $ZM20 \times B$ . subtilis ZM63	58.5 bc	239.4 cde	215.3 a
B. aryabhattai ZM31 × B. aryabhattai S10	55.6 cde	243.5 bc	196.3 g
B. aryabhattai ZM31 $\times$ B. subtilis ZM63	65.3 a	255.9 a	216.0 a
B. aryabhattai S10 $\times$ B. subtilis ZM63	61.4 b	249.8 ab	208.8 c
LSD $(P \le 0.05)$	3.7026	6.6079	1.9428

Table 1: Effect of Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains inoculation/co-inoculation on SPAD value, plant height and shoot dry weight of maize sown in field conditions

\*Inoculation/co-inoculation of Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains; LSD = least significant difference; data are mean values of three replicates; Means sharing the same letter (s) do not differ significantly according to LSD test

Table 2: Effect of Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains inoculation/co-inoculation on cob length, dry weight and 100-grains weight of maize sown in field conditions

Inoculation/co-inoculation*	Cob length (cm)	Cob dry weight (g)	100-grains weight (g)
Uninoculated Control	15.3 e	131.4 e	18.2 f
Bacillus spp. ZM20	16.0 cd	138.2 d	18.6 ef
B. aryabhattai ZM31	15.9 cd	151.9 b	19.4 e
B. aryabhattai S10	16.1 bcd	157.1 a	21.6 bc
B. subtilis ZM63	16.2 bcd	146.8 c	18.3 f
Bacillus spp. ZM20 × B. aryabhattai ZM31	15.7 de	148.7 c	21.1 cd
Bacillus spp. ZM20 $\times$ B. aryabhattai S10	16.6 b	136.4 d	18.9 ef
Bacillus spp. ZM20 $\times$ B. subtilis ZM63	16.6 b	153.5 b	22.4 ab
B. aryabhattai ZM31 × B. aryabhattai S10	16.3 bc	138.5 d	20.5 d
B. aryabhattai ZM31 × B. subtilis ZM63	17.0 a	158.3 a	22.8 a
B. aryabhattai S10 $\times$ B. subtilis ZM63	16.6 b	153.8 b	21.8 bc
LSD ( $P \le 0.05$ )	0.5265	2.5868	0.9801

\*Inoculation/co-inoculation of Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains; LSD = least significant difference; data are mean values of three replicates; Means sharing the same letter (s) do not differ significantly according to LSD test

#### **Yield attributes**

Yield attributes in terms of cob length, cob dry weight and 100-grains weight were enhanced when treated with sole and/or co-inoculation (Table 2). Most of the treatments were non-significant to each other but statistically different from uninoculated control. Co-inoculation with B. aryabhattai ZM31 and B. subtilis ZM63 resulted in maximum cob length of 17 cm while minimum cob length was 15.3 cm shown by uninoculated control. Uninoculated control also showed a minimum cob dry weight of 131.4 g and a 100grains weight of 18.2 g. Co-inoculation with B. aryabhattai ZM31 and B. subtilis ZM63 showed maximum cob dry weight of 158.3 g which was non-significant with sole inoculation of B. aryabhattai S10, however, these treatments were statistically significant with uninoculated control. The maximum 100-grains weight of 22.8 g was observed due to co-inoculation with B. aryabhattai ZM31 and B. subtilis ZM63 followed by co-inoculation with Bacillus spp. ZM20 and B. subtilis ZM63 (22.4 g). These combinations were non-significant to each other but significantly different from uninoculated control.

The data regarding the effect of inoculation and coinoculation on stover and grain yield and harvest index are given in Table 3. Results revealed that uninoculated control showed a minimum stover yield of 17410 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, grain yield of 8598 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and harvest index of 26.6%. The maximum grain yield of 9826 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was obtained due to co-inoculation with *B. aryabhattai* ZM31 and *B. subtilis* ZM63 followed by the combined application of *Bacillus* spp. ZM20  $\times$  *B. subtilis* ZM63 that showed 9741 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of grain yield. These treatments were also non-significant with combined application of *B. aryabhattai* S10 and *B. subtilis* ZM63. Co-inoculated combination of *B. aryabhattai* ZM31 and *B. subtilis* ZM63 showed the maximum stover yield of 19599 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and harvest index of 31%. This combination was statistically similar to the co-inoculation of *Bacillus* spp. ZM20 and *B. subtilis* ZM63, however, these treatments were significantly different from uninoculated control.

#### **Macronutrients concentration**

Inoculation with Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains improved N, P, and K concentration in straw and grains of maize (Table 4). Uninoculated control was lowest to show N, P, and K concentration in maize straw up to 0.83, 0.73 and 1.25%, respectively and in maize grains up to 1.15, 0.77 and 0.62%, respectively. The maximum N concentration in straw and grains was 1.57 and 2.34%, respectively, shown by a co-inoculation combination of *Bacillus* spp. ZM20 × *B. aryabhattai* ZM31. This treatment was non-significant with a co-inoculation combination of *B. aryabhattai* ZM31 × *B.* 

<b>Table 3:</b> Effect of Zn solubilizing <i>Bacillus</i> strains	inoculation/co-inoculation on	stover and grain yield and harv	est index of maize sown
in field conditions			

Inoculation/co-inoculation*	Stover yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
Uninoculated Control	17410 h	8598 f	26.6 f
Bacillus spp. ZM20	18076 f	8836 de	28.8 d
B. aryabhattai ZM31	18727 d	8723 ef	26.8 ef
B. aryabhattai S10	17478 h	9229 b	29.6 с
B. subtilis ZM63	18431 e	8643 ef	27.1 ef
Bacillus spp. ZM20 $\times$ B. aryabhattai ZM31	19044 c	9007 cd	27.4 e
Bacillus spp. ZM20 $\times$ B. aryabhattai S10	18655 d	8638 ef	27.0 ef
Bacillus spp. ZM20 $\times$ B. subtilis ZM63	19380 b	9741 ab	30.5 ab
B. aryabhattai ZM31 × B. aryabhattai S10	17687 g	9093 c	29.9 bc
B. aryabhattai ZM31 $\times$ B. subtilis ZM63	19599 a	9826 a	31.0 a
B. aryabhattai S10 $\times$ B. subtilis ZM63	18745 d	9638 ab	28.7 d
LSD ( $P \le 0.05$ )	184.55	233.32	0.7121

\*Inoculation/co-inoculation of Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains; LSD = least significant difference; data are mean values of three replicates; Means sharing the same letter (s) do not differ significantly according to LSD test

Table 4: Effect of Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains inoculation/co-inoculation on N, P and K concentration in maize straw and grains sown in field conditions

Inoculation/co-inoculation*	N concentration (%)		P concentration (%)		K concentration (%)	
	Straw	Grains	Straw	Grains	Straw	Grains
Uninoculated Control	0.83 g	1.15 g	0.73 d	0.77 e	1.25 ef	0.62 de
Bacillus spp. ZM20	1.17 e	1.22 fg	0.97 ab	0.91 ab	1.67 b	0.66 cde
B. aryabhattai ZM31	0.84 g	1.93 d	0.91 abc	0.88 bcd	1.29 e	0.60 e
B. aryabhattai S10	1.22 e	1.50 e	0.95 ab	0.85 d	1.56 c	0.67 cde
B. subtilis ZM63	1.53 ab	1.25 f	0.80 cd	0.84 d	1.58 c	0.68 cde
Bacillus spp. ZM20 $\times$ B. aryabhattai ZM31	1.57 a	2.34 a	0.80 cd	0.93 a	1.21 f	0.63 de
Bacillus spp. ZM20 $\times$ B. aryabhattai S10	0.92 f	1.87 d	0.96 ab	0.86 cd	1.28 ef	0.75 abc
Bacillus spp. ZM20 $\times$ B. subtilis ZM63	1.50 b	2.04 c	0.96 a	0.91 ab	1.76 a	0.85 a
B. aryabhattai ZM31 $\times$ B. aryabhattai S10	1.41 c	1.27 f	0.83 bcd	0.90 abc	1.38 d	0.72 bcd
B. aryabhattai ZM31 $\times$ B. subtilis ZM63	1.54 ab	2.26 ab	0.99 ab	0.91 ab	1.60 c	0.81 ab
B. aryabhattai S10 $\times$ B. subtilis ZM63	1.32 d	2.19 b	1.05 a	0.94 a	1.83 a	0.85 a
LSD ( $P \le 0.05$ )	0.0677	0.0873	0.1491	0.0436	0.0733	0.1075

\*Inoculation/co-inoculation of Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains; LSD = least significant difference; data are mean values of three replicates; Means sharing the same letter (s) do not differ significantly according to LSD test



Fig. 1: Effect of Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains inoculation/coinoculation on Fe concentration in maize grains sown in field conditions





Fig. 2: Effect of Zn solubilizing *Bacillus* strains inoculation/coinoculation on Zn concentration in maize grains sown in field conditions

(0.85%) followed by co-inoculation with *Bacillus* spp. ZM20 and *B. subtilis* ZM63. These treatments were non-significant to each other but significantly different from uninoculated control.

#### Zn and Fe concentration in grains

Co-inoculation with B. aryabhattai ZM31 and B. subtilis

ZM63 reported the highest Fe concentration of 56.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in maize grains (Fig. 1) followed by co-inoculation with *B. aryabhattai* S10 and *B. subtilis* ZM63. Uninoculated control showed the lowest Fe concentration of 42.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in maize grains. Co-inoculation with *B. aryabhattai* ZM31 + *B. subtilis* ZM63 and *B. aryabhattai* S10 + *B. subtilis* ZM63 reported maximum Zn concentration in grains of 52.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 51.36 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig. 2). Zn concentration in maize grains was lowest in case of inoculated control that showed 44.892 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>.

# Discussion

Zinc is the key component of plants and required for their growth and development. Its deficiency is most common in crops that cause a reduction in crop yield. Application of Zn fertilizers are underutilized in many countries including Pakistan and also not are cost-effective. Moreover, Znfertilizers become converted into insoluble form soon after their applications due to alkaline nature of the soil. Growing crops on such Zn-deficient soil could hinder crop growth and produced staple grains that have resulted in Zndeficient. Inoculation with Zn solubilizing bacteria is an effective strategy to solubilize the insoluble Zn compound that increases the nutrient availability in soil and crop productivity (Mumtaz et al. 2018). These bacteria use various direct and indirect mechanisms that can contribute to enrich the cereals grains with Zn. The present investigation was aimed to biofortify the maize grains along with increasing crop productivity through the application of Zn solubilizing Bacillus strains (Bacillus spp. ZM20, B. aryabhattai ZM31, B. subtilis ZM63 and B. aryabhattai S10) Previously, we have reported the multiple growth-promoting traits of these Zn solubilizing Bacillus strains and their potential to increase growth, yield, and nutrient uptake in maize (Mumtaz et al. 2017, 2018).

In the present study, sole and co-inoculation with Zn solubilizing Bacillus strains promoted maize growth and yield, however, co-inoculation treatments showed better increase in maize growth and yield that might be due to better competency of the strains in plant growth-promoting attributes e.g., solubilization of Zn and P minerals, production of phytohormones, siderophore, urease, catalase activity, and ammonia and exopolysaccharides production ability (Mumtaz et al. 2017; Dinesha et al. 2018). Microbial solubilization of P and Zn through secretion of organic acids may cause a drop in pH that played a key role in increasing their solubility and uptake (Ramesh et al. 2014). Coinoculation with these strains may result in mutualistic interaction that altered root morphology to acquire more nutrients in the plant to increase yield. These Zn solubilizing Bacillus strains were well-reported for indole acetic acid (IAA) that plays a very important role in plant-microbe interactions that stimulate and facilitate plant growth. Microbial secreted IAA interacts with plant developmental processes which may alter the endogenous pool of plant IAA and induces cell elongation and cell division (Spaepen *et al.* 2007). Moreover, several studies related to Zn solubilizing bacterial strains were reported to promote plant growth parameters (Ramesh *et al.* 2014; Shakeel *et al.* 2015; Khande *et al.* 2018).

In the present, the increase in maize growth and yield could also be due to the increase in nutrient uptake and their accumulation in various plant parts due to co-inoculation with Zn solubilizing Bacillus strains. The N uptake was more due to inoculation with Bacillus spp. ZM20 and B. aryabhattai ZM31 that might be due to the presence of nitrogenase enzyme in these strains and having the ability to fix atmospheric N that may facilitate its uptake (Spaepen et al. 2007). In current investigations, co-inoculation with B. aryabhattai S10 and B. subtilis ZM63 reported the highest uptake of P, K, and Fe in maize grains. Highest Zn biofortification in maize stover and grains was observed from a combined use of B. aryabhattai ZM31 and B. subtilis ZM63. Our findings are supported by the results of Rana et al. (2012), Ramesh et al. (2014) and Abaid-Ullah et al. (2015). Macronutrient uptake had a positive impact on micronutrient uptake which correlated to their accumulation in grains (Cakmak et al. 2010). Translocation and mobilization of Fe and Zn in grains depend on their concentration in vegetative tissue, N status, and different species and cultivars. Microbial production of the organic acid may cause a reduction in pH and shifted the dynamic equilibrium of minerals from non-labile to labile form and may promoted nutrient accumulation in plants (Wani et al. 2007).

The present study revealed that the co-inoculation with Zn solubilizing Bacillus strains enriches the maize grains with Zn and Fe that might be due to the increase in the availability of Zn and Fe for plant uptake. The coinoculation combination of aryabhattai ZM31 + B. subtilis ZM63 and aryabhattai ZM31 and B. subtilis ZM63 showed the promising result to biofortify the maize grain with Zn and Fe. These co-inoculation combinations might be more compatible and competative to solubilize the insoluble native soil Zn contents and improved it uptake and accumulation in maize grains as compared to other sole and co-inoculation combination. Bacillus strains also have the ability to produce siderophores which is important for solubilization, mobilization and phytoextraction of metals (Whiting et al. 2001). The increase in Zn and Fe concentration in maize grains due to inoculation may also cause a reduction in antinutrients agent e.g., phytic acid, gluten, tannins, oxalates, lectins, leptins, and saponins which is helpful to improve the bioavailability of nutrients for human consumption. Phytic acid in grains is not bioavailable and binds to Fe and Zn in grains and makes them unavailable to humans (Thompson 1989). Previous findings of Ramesh et al. (2014) reported the reduction in phytic acid accumulation in grains which could be a possible reason for the biofortification of maize in the current study. The mechanism for biofortification upon inoculation is unknown however, it is thought to be due to their growth-promoting characteristics that modulate root morphology, improve nutrient acquisition, and accumulation in grains.

Biofortification of maize through Bacillus strains has immense importance to mitigate micronutrients malnutrition and illness in developing countries (Bouis and Welch 2010). As people consume cereal-based diets to meet daily nutritional requirements which contain too low Zn concentration. Cereal grains must contain at least 45 mg kg of Zn concentration for a significant impact on adult health by assuming daily intake of 400 g of chapatti made from cereal flour (Cakmak 2008). Zn concentration in grains due to inoculation with Bacillus strains in the current study is relatively high for maize, even in the control, is above the minimum level of required Zn concentration to meet daily intake. Its accumulation in grains due to inoculation in the current study might have large implications in terms of remediation of malnutrition in rural population. Coinoculation of Zn solubilizing Bacillus strains have a significant impact on crop productivity and biofortification of maize and potentially to be promoted as bio-inoculants to overcome the nutrient deficiency in cereals.

#### Conclusion

The combined use of *Bacillus* strains *viz.*, *B. aryabhattai* ZM31 & S10 and *B. subtilis* ZM63 were found highly effective for the biofortification of maize along with improvement in growth and yield parameters. These inoculants would be effective in the context of increasing food quality and reducing the use of chemical fertilizers in agriculture. The current study clearly demonstrates that tested *Bacillus* strains have the potential to biofortify maize grains under field conditions and are recommended to use as potential bio-inoculant for Zn biofortification under nutrient-deficient soils.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the financial support by the Endowment Fund Secretariat, University of Agriculture Faisalabad. The workspace was provided by the Department of Soil Science, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur-Pakistan.

#### References

- Abaid-Ullah M, MN Hassan, M Jamil, G Brader, M Kausar, N Shah, A Sessitsch, FY Hafeez (2015). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: An alternate way to improve yield and quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Intl J Agric Biol* 17:51–60
- Alloway BJ (2009). Soil factors associated with zinc deficiency in crops and humans. Environ Geochem Health 31:537–548
- Ayers RS, DW Westcot (1985). Water Quality for Agriculture. FAO Irrig. Drainage Papers 29 (Rev.1). The Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy
- Bouis HE, RM Welch (2010). Biofortification-a sustainable agricultural strategy for reducing micronutrient malnutrition in the global south. *Crop Sci* 50:20–32

- Bouis HE, A Saltzman (2017). Improving nutrition through biofortification: A review of evidence from HarvestPlus, 2003 through 2016. *Glob Food Sec* 12:49–58
- Cakmak I (2008). Zinc deficiency in wheat in Turkey. In: Micronutrient Deficiencies in Global Crop Production, pp:181–200. Alloway BJ (Ed.). Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands
- Cakmak I, WH Pfeiffer, B McClafferty (2010). Biofortification of durum wheat with zinc and iron. *Cereal Chem* 87:10–20
- Chen YP, PD Rekha, AB Arun, FT Shen, WA Lai, CC Young (2006). Phosphate solubilizing bacteria from subtropical soil and their tricalcium phosphate solubilizing abilities. *Appl Soil Ecol* 34:33–41
- Dinesha R, V Srinivasana, S Hamza, C Sarathambal, SJ Ankegowda, AN Ganeshamurthy, SB Gupta, VA Nair, KP Subila, A Lijina, VC Divya (2018). Isolation and characterization of potential Zn solubilizing bacteria from soil and its effects on soil Zn release rates, soil available Zn and plant Zn content. *Geoderma* 321:173–186
- Diomande SE, C Nguyen-The, MH Guinebretiere, V Broussolle, J Brillard (2015). Role of fatty acids in *Bacillus* environmental adaptation. *Front Microbiol* 6; Article 813
- Farooq M, Amanullah, A Rehman, A Nawaz, A Nadeem, A Wakeel, F Nadeem, KHM Siddique (2018) Application of zinc improves the productivity and biofortification of fine grain aromatic rice grown in dry seeded and puddled transplanted production systems. *Field Crops Res* 216:53–62.
- Fasim F, N Ahmed, R Parsons, GM Gadd (2002). Solubilization of zinc salts by a bacterium isolated from the air environment of a tannery. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 213:1–6
- Garg M, N Sharma, S Sharma, P Kapoor, A Kumar, V Chunduri, P Arora (2018). Biofortified crops generated by breeding, agronomy, and transgenic approaches are improving lives of millions of people around the world. *Front Nutr* 5; Article 12
- Helrich K (1990). Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) International (No. 630.243 A849015). Washington DC, USA
- Hess SY (2017). Zinc deficiency. In: Nutrition and Health in a Developing World, pp:265–285. Pee SD, D Taren, MW Bloem (Eds.). Springer International Publishing, Dordrecht, The Netherlands
- Hussain A, ZA Zahir, HN Asghar, M Ahmad, M Jamil, M Naveed, MFUZ Akhtar (2018). Zinc solubilizing bacteria for zinc biofortification in cereals: A step toward sustainable nutritional security. *In: Role of Rhizospheric Microbes in Soil*, pp:203–227. Springer, Singapore
- Khande R, SK Sharma, A Ramesh, MP Sharma (2017). Zinc solubilizing *Bacillus* strains that modulate growth, yield and zinc biofortification of soybean and wheat. *Rhizosphere* 4:126–138
- Lindsay WL, WA Norvell (1978). Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Sci Amer J 42:421–448
- Meena VS, BR Maurya, SK Meena, RK Meena, A Kumar, JP Verma, NP Singh (2016). Can Bacillus Species Enhance Nutrient Availability in Agricultural Soils? *In: Bacilli and Agrobiotechnology*, pp:367–395. Springer, Cham, Switzerland
- Meenakshi JV, N Johnson, VM Manyong, HD Groote, J Javelosa, D Yanggen, E Meng (2007). How cost-effective is biofortification in combating micronutrient malnutrition? An ex-ante assessment. *World Dev* 38:188–209
- Millward DJ (2017). Nutrition, infection and stunting: The roles of deficiencies of individual nutrients and foods, and of inflammation, as determinants of reduced linear growth of children. *Nutr Res Rev* 30:50–72
- Mumtaz MZ, KM Barry, AL Baker, DS Nichols, M Ahmad, ZA Zahir, ML Britz (2019). Production of lactic and acetic acids by *Bacillus* spp. ZM20 and *Bacillus cereus* following exposure to zinc oxide: A possible mechanism for Zn solubilization. *Rhizosphere* 12; Article 100170
- Mumtaz MZ, M Ahmad, M Jamil, SA Asad, F Hafeez (2018). Bacillus strains as potential alternate for zinc biofortification of maize grains. Intl J Agric Biol 20:1779–1786
- Mumtaz MZ, M Ahmad, M Jamil, T Hussain (2017). Zinc solubilizing Bacillus spp. potential candidates for biofortification in maize. Microbiol Res 202:51–60

- Rehman A, M Farooq, A Nawaz, AM Al-Sadi, KS Al-Hashmi, F Nadeem, A Ullah (2018a) Characterizing bread wheat genotypes of Pakistani origin for grain zinc biofortification potential. J Sci Food Agric 98:4824–4836
- Rehman A, M Farooq, L Ozturk, M Asif, KHM Siddique (2018c) Zinc nutrition in wheat-based cropping systems. *Plant Soil* 422:283–315.
- Rehman A, M Farooq, M Naved, A Nawaz, B Shahzad (2018b) Seed priming of Zn with endophytic bacteria improves the productivity and grain biofortification of bread wheat. *Eur J Agron* 94:98–107.
- Ramesh A, SK Sharma, MP Sharma, N Yadav, OP Joshi (2014). Inoculation of zinc solubilizing *Bacillus aryabhattai* strains for improved growth, mobilization and biofortification of zinc in soybean and wheat cultivated in vertisols of central India. *Appl Soil Ecol* 73:87–96
- Rana A, M Joshi, R Prasanna, YS Shivay, L Nain (2012). Biofortification of wheat through inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and cyanobacteria. *Eur J Soil Biol* 50:118–126
- Roohani N, R Hurrell, R Kelishadi, R Schulin (2013). Zinc and its importance for human health: An integrative review. J Res Med Sci 18:144–157
- Ryan J, G Estefan, A Rashid (2007). Soil and Plant Analysis Laboratory Manual, 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. International Center for Agriculture in Dry Areas, Syria
- Saravanan VS, M Madhaiyan, M Thangaraju (2007). Solubilization of zinc compounds by the *diazotrophic*, plant growth promoting bacterium *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus*. Chemosphere 66:1794–1798
- Shakeel M, A Rais, MN Hassan, FY Hafeez (2015). Root associated Bacillus spp. improves growth, yield and zinc translocation for basmati rice (Oryza sativa) varieties. Front Microbiol 6:1–12
- Sharma SK, MP Sharma, A Ramesh, OP Joshi (2012). Characterization of zinc-solubilizing *Bacillus* isolates and their potential to influence zinc assimilation in soybean seeds. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* 22:352–359
- Spaepen S, J Vanderleyden, R Remans (2007). Indole-3-acetic acid in microbial and microorganism-plant signaling. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 31:425–448
- Steel RGD, JH Torrie, DA Dickey (1997). Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach, 3<sup>rd</sup> edn, pp:400–428. McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc. New York, USA

- Thompson LU (1989). Nutritional and physiological effects of phytic acid. In: Food Proteins, pp:410–431. Kinsells JE, WG Soucie (Eds.). American Oil Chemist Society Champaign, Illinois, USA
- Ullah A, M Farooq, M Hussain (2020a) Improving the productivity, profitability and grain quality of kabuli chickpea with co-application of zinc and endophyte bacteria Enterobacter sp. strain MN17. *Arch Agron Soil Sci* 66:897–912.
- Ullah A, M Farooq, A Rehman, M Hussain, KHM Siddique (2020b) Zinc nutrition in chickpea: A review. *Crop Past Sci* 71:199–218
- Vaid SK, B Kumar, A Sharma, AK Shukla, PC Srivastava (2014). Effect of Zn solubilizing bacteria on growth promotion and Zn nutrition of rice. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr 14:889–910
- Velu G, I Ortiz-Monasterio, I Cakmak, Y Hao, RP Singh (2014). Biofortification strategies to increase grain zinc and iron concentrations in wheat. J Cereal Sci 59:365–372
- Vidyashree DN, R Muthuraju, P Panneerselvam, D Mitra (2018). Organic acids production by zinc solubilizing bacterial Isolates. *Intl J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci* 7:626–633
- Wani PA, MS Khan, A Zaidi (2007). Synergistic effects of the inoculation with nitrogen-fixing and phosphate-solubilizing rhizobacteria on the performance of field-grown chickpea. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 170:283–287
- White PJ, MP Broadley (2005). Biofortifying crops with essential mineral elements. *Trends Plant Sci* 10:586–593
- Whiting NS, PMD Souza, N Terry (2001). Rhizosphere bacteria mobilize Zn for hyperaccumulation by *Thlaspi caerulescens*. Environ Sci Technol 35:3144–3150
- Wolf B (1982). The comprehensive system of leaf analysis and its use for diagnosing crop nutrient status. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal* 13:1035–1059
- Yawar W, K Naeem, P Akhter, I Rehana, M Saeed (2010). Assessment of three digestion procedures for Zn contents in Pakistani soil by flame atomic absorption spectrometry. J Saud Chem Soc 14:125–129
- Younas A, HA Sadaqat, M Kashif, N Ahmed, M Farooq (2020) Combining ability and heterosis for grain iron biofortification in bread wheat. J Sci Food Agric 100:1570–1576